

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 6917
09/889,624 11/07/2001		Girish J. Kotwal	032513-010	
21839 75	590 01/29/2004	EXAMINER		
BURNS DOA	NE SWECKER & MAT	MURPHY, JOSEPH F		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	and the second s		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/20/2007	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		0	9/889,624	KOTWAL ET AL.			
		E	caminer	Art Unit			
	erena.		seph F Murphy	1646			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN asions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (a period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. 30) days, a reply with tatutory period will ap y will, by statute, cau:	. In no event, however, may in the statutory minimum of toply and will expire SIX (6) Mose the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>07 Nove</u>	<u>mber 2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This acti	on is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restrict	are withdrawn f					
	on Papers	011 0110/01 0100	non roquii omoni.				
10) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the transfer of the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the transfer of the oath or declaration is objected the specific of the oath or declaration is objected the coath of t	: a) ☐ accepte ection to the drav g the correction i	ving(s) be held in abey s required if the drawir	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			·			
a)[* S 13)	application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action cknowledgment is made of a claim the foreign reference was included CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign latecknowledgment is made of a claim to the claim to the foreign latecknowledgment is made of a claim to the claim to the claim to the claim to the	documents hat documents hat of the priority of the priority of the for a list of the for domestic priority and in the first second and the provision domestic priority domesti	ve been received. ve been received in documents have been CT Rule 17.2(a)). ne certified copies no fority under 35 U.S.Centence of the specification has cority under 35 U.S.Centence application has	Application No n received in this National Stage of received. S. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet.			
Attachment	(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/889,624

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, drawn to a method of treatment of Alzheimer's disease with a protein of SEQ ID NO: 1.

Group II, claim(s) 7-12, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising SEQ ID NO: 1.

Group III, claim(s) 13-18, drawn to a method of detecting amyloid plaques with a labeled protein with the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The invention of Group II is separate and distinct from the invention of Group I because the invention of Group II may be used in other methods than those of Group I, such as in the production of antibodies.

The invention of Group II is separate and distinct from the invention of Group III because the invention of Group II may be used in other methods than those of Group III, such as in the production of antibodies.

Groups I and III are drawn to methods different in design and performance, and which do not share the same or a corresponding special technical feature which define the contribution of

Application/Control Number: 09/889,624

Art Unit: 1646

each invention. The methods of Groups I and III do not share a corresponding special technical feature because the methods are practiced with materially different process steps for materially different purposes and each method requires different starting materials, process steps and goals. Since these special technical features are not shared by each process, and since the common features do not establish an advance over the prior art, the inventions of Groups I-III do not form a single inventive concept within the meaning of Rule 13.2.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are

Art Unit: 1646

governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph F. Murphy whose telephone number is 703-305-7245. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 703-308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Joseph F. Murphy, Ph. D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1646

January 23, 2004